UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/788,515	02/27/2004	Paul M. Bird	CA920030104US1 6895	
23373 SUGHRUE MI	7590 05/10/2007		EXAMINER	
2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W.			LIE, ANGELA M	
SUITE 800 WASHINGTO	N, DC 20037		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2163	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/10/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

• \$	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	10/788,515	BIRD ET AL.	
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Angela M. Lie	2163	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	lress
THE REPLY FILED <u>03 May 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the follo places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a N a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliantime periods:	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o	Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth	in the final rejection, wh	nichever is later. In
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP	(b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	-	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of e under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	e on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 xtension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig er than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comfiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exta Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be file	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of ne appeal. Since
AMENDMENTS			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection (a) They raise new issues that would require further c (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE bel (c) They are not deemed to place the application in beautiful appeal; and/or	onsideration and/or search (see NO ow); etter form for appeal by materially re	TE below); ducing or simplifying	
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a))			
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s 	S):		
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).	·	•	J
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proposed. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		II be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-24</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
3. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good a was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	nd sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence i	s necessary and
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An evaluate the sufficient reasons who is necessary.	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe iry and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanati REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. ☒ The request for reconsideration has been considered been.		•	
See Continuation Sheet.		, condition for allowa	nice decause.
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)13. Other:	. (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	2/6	

Angela M Lie

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The applicant's arguments have been considered, however they are not found persuasive. With respect to the applicant's assertion on page 13, first and second paragraphs, stating that McNabb does not teach the security gate being asked to provide an indication of whether the requestor associated with the request is to be permitted access to the contents of the respective classified table element, the examiner disagrees. In column 14, lines 19-26, McNabb teaches that the security gate receives an access request, and the authorized requests are further processed. The examiner maintains that the authorization is equivalent to the indication of permitted access.

Angela M Lie

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100